

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OTIS DAY PRIMES,

No. 2:24-cv-3018-SCR-P

Petitioner,

v.

CUEVA,

ORDER AND  
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Respondent.

By an order filed February 27, 2025, petitioner was ordered to file an in forma pauperis affidavit or to pay the appropriate filing fee, within thirty days, or his application would be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and petitioner has not responded to the court's order, has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit, and has not paid the appropriate filing fee. Therefore, the undersigned recommends that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign this matter to a district judge.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within twenty one days after being served with these findings and recommendations, petitioner may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's

1 Findings and Recommendations.” Petitioner is advised that failure to file objections within the  
2 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951  
3 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 DATED: April 14, 2025



5  
6 SEAN C. RIORDAN  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28